

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,985	06/24/2003	Graham John Gibson Juby		2030
7590 05/03/2005		EXAM	KAMINER	
LAW OFFICE	ES OF DENNIS W. 1	PRINCE, FRED G		
Suite C-2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
19900 Beach B			L	TALER NOMBER
Huntington, CA 92648			1724	
DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005			5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$W_{\perp}$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	lile		
		10/602,985	JUBY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fred Prince	1724			
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app iod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,		
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).	• lion.		
Stat	us					
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma	<u>arch 2005</u> .				
	<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
;	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disp	position of Claims					
;	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-17 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Арр	lication Papers					
1	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the conference of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the conference of the conference of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the conference of	epted or b) objected to by the for drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121			
Prio	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1	2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	•		
Attac	chment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) [	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

M

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The amendment filed March 14, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: There is no disclosure that the plant depicted in Figure 4 is a full scale facility about 50 mgd, incorporating the components of a pilot plant. In fact, the description clearly indicates that Figure 4 depicts a pilot plant.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## **Priority**

2. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

This application is claiming the benefit of a prior filed nonprovisional application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c). Copendency between the current application and the prior application is required.

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the

applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

The filing date of the instant application is June 24, 2003. The date of abandonment of U.S. Application Serial Number 10/094,033 is May 28, 2003. Therefore, the instant application does not receive benefit under 35 USC 120 to U.S. Application Serial Number 09/943,638 or 10/094,033 as the filing date of the instant application is after the pendency of the later filed U.S. Application Serial Number 10/094,033 (see MPEP 201.08). Accordingly, the priority information provided in the disclosure should be deleted in its entirety.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said high-rate" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-5 and 7-17 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1724

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

fgp 4/25/05